The understanding of Cognitive Warfare in comparative perspective

Taking stock and bridging the gap to extant literatures

Helmut-Schmidt-University / University of the Federal Armed Forces Hamburg

Christoph Deppe Alexandru Fotescu Prof. Dr. Gary S. Schaal





Structure

- 1. Research Questions
- 2. Conceptual Discussion of ACT Cognitive Warfare Concept
- 3. Cognitive Warfare literature: analysis
- 4. Cognitive Warfare literature: research gaps
- 5. Relation to neighboring concepts
- 6. Conclusion

Research Questions

- 1. Does the Cognitive Warfare Concept by NATO ACT offer analytical capacities from a political science standpoint, or is its primary utility confined to institutional military applications?
- 2. How is Cognitive Warfare conceptualized and represented in scientific literature?
- 3. What are the functional and analytical disparities in comparison to neighboring concepts, namely FIMI and Hybrid Threats and how are the three concepts related?

Conceptual Discussion of ACT Cognitive Warfare Concept

Does the Cognitive Warfare Concept by NATO ACT offer analytical capacities from a political science standpoint, or is its primary utility confined to institutional military applications?

Cognitive Warfare literature: analysis

How is Cognitive Warfare conceptualized and represented in scientific literature?

Cognitive Warfare literature: research gaps

Relation to neighboring concepts

What are the functional and analytical disparities in comparison to neighboring concepts, namely FIMI and Hybrid Threats and how are the three concepts related?

Conclusion







Christoph Deppe

christoph.deppe@hsu-hh.de

Alexandru Fotescu

fotescua@hsu-hh.de

Prof. Dr. Gary S. Schaal

gschaal@hsu-hh.de